

Exhibit J: State Coordinating Organization (SCO) Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet HHS0013403				
Evaluator Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Organizational Capacity, Qualifications, and Experience			
1.1	Evaluate the Respondent's background related to healthcare systems preparedness, including the Emergency Medical Task Force (EMTF) program and Healthcare Coalitions (HCCs).	10%		
1.2	Evaluate the Respondent's experience with Healthcare Coalitions and related preparedness activities, either as the lead or as a participating organization.	5%		
1.3	Evaluate the Respondent's experience in the development and support of the EMTF, including related preparedness activities, either as the lead or as a participating organization.	5%		
Subtotal		20%		
2	Knowledge and Experience			
2.1	Evaluate the Respondent's knowledge of all the EMTF regions and TSAs across the state.	10%		
2.2	Evaluate the Respondent's experience with disaster preparedness planning and response, including coordination with a wide range of organizations and agencies within the TSA regions of the EMTF region for which they are applying.	10%		
Subtotal		20%		
3	Quality of Proposed Project Work Plan			
3.1	Evaluate the Respondent's plan to provide direction to and coordination of EMTF activities across the state.	15%		
3.2	Evaluate the Respondent's process to ensure the eight EMTF Region Leads meet established performance measures, including how it will monitor performance.	15%		
3.3	Evaluate how the Respondent's work plan will mobilize and deploy EMFT supplies and equipment.	10%		
3.4	Evaluate the Respondent's plan to manage the response needs of the EMTF.	10%		
Subtotal		50%		
4	Proposed Budget and Justification (Cost Effectiveness)			
4.1	Evaluate the Respondent's Proposed Budget for: a. Allowability of items; b. Equitability of funds distributed to all TSAs in the EMTF region; and c. Planning, training and, exercise costs.	5%		
4.2	Evaluate the Respondent's balance between administrative costs (salaries) and operational costs (EMTF support).	5%		
Subtotal		10%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit J: State Coordinating Organization (SCO) HHS0013403		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit J: State Coordinating Organization (SCO) HHS0013403		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Organizational Capacity, Qualifications, and Experience	20%
2	Knowledge and Experience	20%
3	Quality of Proposed Project Work Plan	50%
4	Proposed Budget and Justification (cost effectiveness)	10%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%